



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Aug 1, 2016 – 07:29 PM EDT

PDB ID : 5K57  
Title : HDD domain from human Ddi2  
Authors : Veverka, V.  
Deposited on : 2016-05-23

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	unknown
Percentile statistics	:	20151230.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 30th 2015)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	rb-20027939
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	rb-20027939


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 92%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	114402	11133
Ramachandran outliers	111179	9975
Sidechain outliers	111093	9958

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	98	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 30 models. Model 13 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:21-A:63 (43)	0.11	13

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 4, 7, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 21, 22, 25, 27, 29
2	3, 6, 9, 14, 16, 18, 24, 28, 30
3	2, 5, 8, 11, 20, 26
4	19, 23

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1557 atoms, of which 775 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Protein DDI1 homolog 2.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	98	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1557	479	775	144	158	1	


There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q5TDH0




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2



### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11


- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2






### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.26 Score per residue for model 26

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.27 Score per residue for model 27

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.28 Score per residue for model 28

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2




### 4.2.29 Score per residue for model 29

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2



### 4.2.30 Score per residue for model 30

- Molecule 1: Protein DDI1 homolog 2



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 30 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
YASARA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	5k57_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1190
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1190
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	92%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

## 6 Model quality

### 6.1 Standard geometry

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with  $|Z| > 5$  is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.60±0.03	0±0/343 (0.0±0.0%)	0.89±0.04	1±1/465 (0.3±0.2%)
All	All	0.60	0/10290 (0.0%)	0.89	36/13950 (0.3%)

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	25	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	7.38	123.99	120.30	10	11
1	A	25	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-5.92	117.34	120.30	10	1
1	A	59	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	5.77	123.18	120.30	11	4
1	A	37	LEU	CA-CB-CG	5.68	128.37	115.30	23	14
1	A	41	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	5.36	122.98	120.30	3	5
1	A	35	LEU	CB-CG-CD2	-5.07	102.39	111.00	14	1

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	337	356	356	0±1
All	All	10110	10680	10680	14

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:21:PRO:HB3	1:A:61:LEU:HD22	0.52	1.82	18	13
1:A:48:ALA:HB1	1:A:57:PHE:HA	0.41	1.92	23	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	43/98 (44%)	43±0 (99±1%)	0±0 (1±1%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	1290/2940 (44%)	1279 (99%)	11 (1%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	38/87 (44%)	35±1 (93±3%)	3±1 (7±3%)	24	70
All	All	1140/2610 (44%)	1063 (93%)	77 (7%)	24	70

All 11 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	35	LEU	30
1	A	59	ARG	10
1	A	26	ASP	9
1	A	34	GLU	9
1	A	53	ASP	5

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	47	GLU	4
1	A	25	ARG	4
1	A	55	GLU	2
1	A	40	GLU	2
1	A	51	SER	1
1	A	37	LEU	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



## 7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 92% for the well-defined parts and 86% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: 5k57\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *hdd.star*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1190
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1190
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	97	$-0.22 \pm 0.13$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	94	$0.13 \pm 0.06$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	96	$-0.23 \pm 0.10$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	89	$-0.33 \pm 0.24$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 92%, i.e. 505 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 547. 14 out of 14 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	206/207 (100%)	82/82 (100%)	85/86 (99%)	39/39 (100%)
Sidechain	291/324 (90%)	178/190 (94%)	108/121 (89%)	5/13 (38%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	8/16 (50%)	6/9 (67%)	2/6 (33%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	505/547 (92%)	266/281 (95%)	195/213 (92%)	44/53 (83%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 1079 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1253. 17 out of 17 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	467/476 (98%)	185/189 (98%)	193/196 (98%)	89/91 (98%)
Sidechain	593/736 (81%)	373/435 (86%)	206/259 (80%)	14/42 (33%)
Aromatic	19/41 (46%)	15/23 (65%)	4/16 (25%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	1079/1253 (86%)	573/647 (89%)	403/471 (86%)	103/135 (76%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

